## **SUMMARY OF THE OFFICE ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-6 and 9-16 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Purton (WO 00/51076).
- 2. Claims 7 and 8 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Purton in view of Breeding (US 2002/0063389).

## **RESPONSE TO THE REJECTIONS**

## 1. Claims 1-6 and 9-16 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as Anticipated by Purton (WO 00/51076).

Claims 1-12 have been cancelled.

Only method claims remain, and those claims are directed towards hgand reading. This is not a function or step taught by Purton.

# 2. Claims 7 and 8 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Purton in view of Breeding (US 2002/0063389).

This rejection is moot.

## **CONCLUSION**

The amended and new claims are in condition for allowance.

By:\_

Respectfully submitted,

Attila Grauzer et al.

By Their Representatives,

MARK A. LITMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.

York Business Center, Suite 205 3209 West 76<sup>th</sup> Street

Edina, Minnesota 55435

(952) 832-90907

Date: 22 March 2006

Mark A. Litman

Reg. No. 26,390